

REMARKS

The present application was filed on July 25, 2003 with claims 1-22. In the outstanding Office Action dated December 28, 2004, the Examiner has: (i) rejected claims 15-17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite; (ii) indicated that claims 1-14 and 18-22 are allowed; and (iii) indicated that claims 15-17 are allowable.

In this response, claim 15 has been amended. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 15-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner contends that the phrase "one of the communication links" recited in claim 15, line 2, "lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 14," from which claim 15 depends (Office Action; page 2, paragraph 3). Claim 15 has been amended in a manner which is believed to provide proper antecedent basis for all elements in the claim, namely, the phrase "one of the communication links," recited in line 2 of claim 15, as well as to provide proper antecedent basis for the phrases "the electronic devices" and "the connection arrangement," recited in lines 3-4 and line 12, respectively, of claim 15. These amendments are not being made in view of the prior art, but rather are intended solely to correct certain formal matters. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance of claims 15-17 are respectfully solicited.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe that pending claims 1-22 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request withdrawal of the §112 rejection.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: March 25, 2005

Wayne L. Ellenbogen
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 43,602
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-7662